

Publication criteria for penalty notices

Introduction

All penalty notices issued by the NSW Food Authority and other enforcement agencies under the NSW *Food Act 2003* will be assessed against a publication matrix and outcome decision tree.

This matrix takes into account the priority classification of the business involved as well as the severity and the type of offence or alleged offence.

Purpose of assessment

Assessment ensures penalty notices relating to minor offences or alleged offences (such as the presence of a single cracked tile) or administrative in nature (such as not properly displaying a licence), and which are not deemed to have an impact on public health or safety, are not published.

Assessment process

The initial assessment will be undertaken by a dedicated officer within the Food Authority's Local Government Unit.

The dedicated officer, who is suitably skilled, trained and supported to carry out penalty notice assessments, is tasked with applying the matrix to each individual penalty notice. In addition, each penalty notice classed through the matrix as 'not for publication' will be assessed against the outcome decision tree.

Incomplete assessments

For penalty notices containing insufficient information, the dedicated officer will contact the issuing authority and/or officer to obtain additional information to allow assessment.


Should the dedicated officer be unable to determine whether the penalty notice should be published, the penalty notice will be referred to a panel for a decision.

The panel will consist of:

- Manager, Compliance Investigation Unit

- Manager, Local Government Unit
- Independent Officer.

Assessment flow chart



Matrix parameters

The matrix has 2 parameters which weigh up both the priority classification of a business and the severity of the offence or alleged offence. For instance, a penalty notice issued for a type A offence or alleged offence will always be published, regardless of the priority classification of the business involved.

Priority classification

The priority classification of a food business is based on the national risk profiling framework (RPF), which prioritises regulatory effort based on risk (see [Business sector food safety risk priority classification framework](#)). The RPF provides guidance on the allocation of Australian food business sectors into categories based on their likelihood of contributing to foodborne disease and the potential magnitude of that contribution. The use of the RPF is required to be transparent, scientific and evidence based. The RPF consists of a series of decision trees, with extensive documentation to assist in its correct application, and leads to the classification of each business into one of 4 risk categories, or priority classifications; priority 1 being businesses requiring more stringent food safety management measures and priority 4 businesses needing less measures to ensure a safe food outcome.

Severity of offence or alleged offence

The other matrix parameter is the severity of the offence or alleged offence. During audits and inspections, offences or alleged offences under the Act are rated in accordance with their likelihood to impact adversely on public health and enforcement action is taken as appropriate and as detailed in the [Compliance and enforcement policy](#) (PDF, 671 KB).

For the purpose of assessing penalty notices for publication, offences or alleged offences are classified as A, B or C type offences or alleged offences.

- **Type C** offences or alleged offences are failures to comply with the requirements of the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code, the NSW Food Regulation 2025 or the Act which are unlikely to result in the production of unsafe, unsuitable or inaccurately labelled food. In most cases these will be administrative in nature.
- **Type B** offences or alleged offences are failures to comply with the requirements of the Code, Regulation or Act which are likely to result in the production of unsafe, unsuitable or inaccurately labelled food. These offences or alleged offences are unlikely to be administrative in nature.
- **Type A** offences or alleged offences are failures to comply with the requirements of the Code, Regulation or Act which are very likely to result in, or have resulted in, the production of unsafe, unsuitable or inaccurately labelled food. These offences or alleged offences will not be administrative in nature. Failure to comply with previous enforcement action requests also fall within this category.

The matrix

		Priority classification			
		P1	P2	P3	P4
Severity	A	YES	YES	YES	YES
	B	YES	YES	YES	NO
	C	NO	NO	NO	NO

Applying the matrix

Penalty notices issued for offences or alleged offences assessed as:

- **Type C** would not be published
- **Type B** would only be published when the food business involved has a priority classification of P1, P2 or P3
- **Type A** would always be published.

In the event that a business or person has been issued with more than one penalty notice, the penalty notices will be assessed individually.

Matrix decisions

All penalty notices assessed as 'not for publication' by use of the matrix are reviewed once more to identify those penalty notices that may relate to issues that could impact on public health and safety. This provides a process step to ensure that any notices that might have been filtered out by the matrix but do relate to public health and safety issues are ultimately published in accordance with the Government's commitment to do so.

More information

- Visit foodauthority.nsw.gov.au
- Contact the Food Authority helpline:
 - Email food.contact@dpird.nsw.gov.au
 - Phone 1300 552 406

© State of New South Wales through NSW Food Authority 2025. The information contained in this publication is based on knowledge and understanding at the time of writing September 2025. However, because of advances in knowledge, users are reminded of the need to ensure that the information upon which they rely is up to date and to check the currency of the information with the appropriate officer of the Food Authority or the user's independent adviser.